

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

TUESDAY, THE 12TH DECEMBER 2006 / 21ST AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 32843 of 2006(I)

PETITIONER:

MUHAMMED ALI KOORI,
S/O.UNNENKUTTY KOORI, AGED 46 YEARS,
ADIKARITHODI HOUSE, MELMURI POST, PIN-676 514,
(REPRESENTED THROUGH) HIS P/A. HOLDER MANOJ P.S.,
S/O.SIVAN, 27 YEARS, PALISSERY HOUSE, CHERAI POST,
ERNAKULAM-683 514).

BY ADV. SRI.DENIZEN KOMATH

RESPONDENTS:

PALLIPPURAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
(SPECIAL GRADE), CHERAI P.O.,
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.

BY ADV.SRI.T.A.SHAJI, SC.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 12/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 32843 OF 2006

Dated this the 12th day of December, 2006

JUDGMENT

The petitioner will serve a copy of this Writ Petition on Sri. T.A.Shaji, who will thereupon take notice on behalf of the respondent-Panchayat. The grievance of the petitioner is that it was without issuing the mandatory notice under Section 235(x) of the Panchayat Raj Act, that the respondent-Panchayat interfered with the renovation work which he had taken up on his building. I do not propose to examine the merits of the above ground. But since Ext.P4 is seen pending before the Panchayat it is only appropriate that the Panchayat takes an early decision. The Writ Petition will stand disposed of with the following directions:

If Ext.P4 is even now pending before the Panchayat, the Panchayat will take up the same, hear the petitioner and take a decision. The decision as directed above, will be taken by the Panchayat at their earliest and at any rate within three weeks of receiving a copy of this judgment.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE

btt

